FINAL 2022 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT SCORING TOOL

OVERVIEW

Summary of Factors	Points
1. Agency-Wide Scoring	30
2. Project Performance Outcomes	29
3. Efficient Use of Project Funds	24
4. Project Consistency with HUD and Local Priorities	12
5. Bonus Points	10
Total Points Available	100 + 10 Bonus Points

1. AGENCY-WIDE SCORING (30 Points)

Each agency will receive a score (up to 30 points) for the below agency-wide factors that will then be added to each project's score (up to 70 points + 10 bonus points) to create a final score for each project (i.e., Agency A gets a score of 15 on the agency-wide scoring factors, which will be added to Project B's score of 60 and Project C's score of 70 to create a total score for Project A of 75 and for Project B of 85).

Agency-Wide Threshold Factors (Required but not scored)	Status
 FMCoC Membership The agency is a member in good standing of the FMCoC. 	Pass/Must Fix

Factor 1.A. Client Participation in Program Design and Policy-Making (5 Points- Panel Discretion)	Scoring Guide	Points
Review the narrative and apply the scoring guide to the right, up to a maximum of 5 points.	 The agency has at least one strategy for gathering client feedback and input. Strategies may include, but are not limited to: Having at least one homeless or formerly homeless person on its staff or board of directors Having a Lived Experience Advisory Board that meets regularly (different from the CoC's Board) 	2

 Administering client satisfaction surveys Convening client focus groups 		
Agency incorporates client feedback into program design and/or policy-making.	3	

Factor 1.B. Audit Findings (8 Points- Panel Discretion)	Scoring Guide	Points
Agencies were instructed to submit a copy of their most recent audit report. Any type of report can be used – the audit could be from a direct	Agency attached an audit dated 1/1/2020 or later with no significant negative findings.	8
recipient, from an accountant, etc. The report should be dated no earlier than 1/1/2020. Award up to 8 points using the scoring guide to	Agency attached an audit dated 1/1/2020 or later with negative findings, but convincingly explains how it has corrected the negative findings.	5
the right.	Agency attached an audit dated before 1/1/2020, but convincingly explains why it was not audited since 1/1/2020.	5
	Other	0

Factor 1.C. Improve Safety for DV Survivors (2 Points- Panel Discretion)	Points
Award up to 2 points based on the extent to which the agency will	2
improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence,	
sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking in their projects.	

Factor 1.D. Quality of Services ¹ (6 Points- Panel Discretion)	Points
 Award up to 6 points based on the agency's narrative regarding the quality of their supportive services. You may consider the extent to which services: are thoughtfully matched to the needs of the target population are delivered by an adequate number of staff with appropriate training, including conferences, peer learning, CoC training, and/or on-the-job experience 	6
The Panel should consider whether the agency has multiple CoC-funded housing project types (TH, RRH, PSH, TH-RRH) and whether a narrative for each project type is included, as services and case management ratios may differ based on the project type.	

¹ HUD System Performance Measures 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6

Factor 1.E. Housing First ² (5 Points- Panel Discretion)	Scoring Guide	Points
Award up to 5 points based on each "No" response the agency provides, using the scoring guide on the right.	No, the agency will not leave "Housing First" boxes in e-snaps unchecked (for all projects).	1
The Panel has discretion to adjust this score up or down based on an optional interview of the program and/or an optional review of the	No, there are no program access restrictions for clients based on income, sobriety, criminal records, or mental health.	1
project's policies and procedures.	No, clients are not required to find their own housing unit before accessing housing programs.	1
	No, clients are not required to participate in mandatory classes, therapy, job training, or interventions.	1
	No, the agency does not impose restrictions on clients that go beyond what is typically covered in an ordinary lease agreement.	1

Factor 1.F. Severity of Needs ³ (2 Points- Panel Discretion)	Scoring Guide	Points
Award up to 2 points based the scoring guide to the right.	Potential clients served in various projects are anticipated to have at least 2 of the following severe needs: (i.) low or no income, (ii.) current or past substance use, (iii.) a history of victimization such as domestic violence or sexual assault, (iv.) criminal histories, and/or (v.) chronic homelessness.	1
	Agency's narrative includes a realistic plan to meet the above-listed specific client needs and vulnerabilities.	1

Factor 1.G. Addressing Racial Biases (2 Points-	Scoring Guide	Points
Panel Discretion)		
Using the scoring guide on the right, award up to 2	Yes, agency has reviewed client	1
points based on the degree to which the agency	outcomes with an equity lens,	
has identified any barriers to participation in their	including the separation and review	

² HUD System Performance Measure 2

³ HUD System Performance Measure 1

FINAL 2022 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT SCORING TOOL

projects (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness	of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity and/or age.	
population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Agency's narrative demonstrates that they have identified project changes needed to make client outcomes more equitable and created a plan to make those change (if N/A is written here, award 0 points).	1

PROJECT-SPECIFIC SCORING

Project-Specific Threshold Factors	Status
 Policies Remain Compliant All of the project's relevant policies and procedures were examined as part of a previous year's review and rank process. <u>The project should pass this threshold factor for each project if it certifies that none of its policies have changed in ways that could impair its federal obligations, including: </u>	Status Pass/Fail
 orientation, gender identity, local residency status, or any other protected category (this includes ensuring privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation in projects) only accepting new participants if they can be documented as eligible for this project's program type based on their housing and disability status. Projects are also required to have policies regarding termination of assistance,	
client grievances, Equal Access/non-discrimination, ADA and fair housing requirements, VAWA protection, and confidentiality that are compliant with HUD CoC Program requirements.	
2. Match The project demonstrates 25% match per grant using match letters that specify the kind and amount of resources to be used or donated.	Pass/Must Fix

FINAL 2022 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT SCORING TOOL

2. PROJECT PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES (29 POINTS)

Projects will be scored based on data in the CoC's HMIS, except for projects operated by victim services providers which will be scored based on data from the victim service provider's comparable database. **Panelists should not adjust a score by more than 20% of the maximum possible value for that scoring factor**. For example, placement into permanent housing is worth 24 points. 20% of 24 points is 4.8 points, so the Panel should not normally adjust a project's score on placement into permanent housing up or down by more than 4.8 points. This 20% discretion is not above and on top of any discretion that is explicitly provided for in the scoring tool. E.g., if the scoring tool says that a project may be awarded 2 additional points for a specific reason, the Panel may use its discretion to add 2 points <u>and may not</u> add 20% on top of that.

When using discretion, Panelists should keep in mind:

- That outcomes will naturally be lower in a more difficult to serve population with severe needs and vulnerabilities such as persons experiencing chronic homelessness, mental illness, substance use disorders and/or domestic violence survivors;
- That project size can influence outcomes, as percentages can over or understate outcomes for smaller projects; and
- That COVID-19 may have affected clients' ability to increase their income.

or 2.A. Housing Performance for RRH and PSH Projects ⁴ (24 Points)	Scale	Points
: Increasing Housing Retention	≥95%	24
• Calculation: (Total Stayers + Total Exits to Permanent Housing by		
end of measurement period) ÷ (Total Clients - Total Deceased - Total	90-94.9%	22
Neutral Exits)		
 Neutral exits are exits to Foster Care, Nursing Homes, or 	85-89.9%	20
Non-Psychiatric Hospitals or Inpatient Medical Facilities.	03 03.370	20
 Panelists may exercise up to 4.8 discretion points (20% of the 	00.04.00/	
maximum possible value for the scoring factor) to increase (to a	80-84.9%	18
maximum of 24) or decrease the score. To utilize discretion to		
increase points, the project must have included a narrative. Factors	75-79.9%	15
that can affect performance include, but are not limited to, project		
size, population served and severity of barriers, and circumstances	70-74.9%	10
beyond the project's sphere of influence.		
APR Sources: [APR 5a Stayers + APR 23c Permanent Dest Subtotal] ÷ [APR 5a Persons Served - APR Q23c	60-69.9%	
Deceased - APR Q23c Foster Care Home - APR Q23c	00-09.978	
Hospital Non-Psychiatric - APR 23c Nursing Home]		
	0-59.9%	(
Exits to Permanent Housing/Housing Stability		
Calculation: Total Exits to Permanent Housing ÷ (Total Leavers -		
Total Deceased - Total Neutral Exits)		
 Neutral exits are exits to Foster Care, Nursing Homes, or 		
Non-Psychiatric Hospitals or Inpatient Medical Facilities.		
Panelists may exercise up to 4.8 discretion points (20% of the		
maximum possible value for the scoring factor) to increase (to a		
maximum of 24) or decrease the score. To utilize discretion to		
increase points, the project must have included a narrative		
explaining their performance. Factors that can affect performance		
include, but are not limited to, project size, the number of persons		
include, but are not limited to, project size, the number of persons who exited the project, population served and severity of barriers,		
include, but are not limited to, project size, the number of persons who exited the project, population served and severity of barriers, and circumstances beyond the project's sphere of influence.		
 include, but are not limited to, project size, the number of persons who exited the project, population served and severity of barriers, and circumstances beyond the project's sphere of influence. Projects with no leavers will receive full points. 		
 include, but are not limited to, project size, the number of persons who exited the project, population served and severity of barriers, and circumstances beyond the project's sphere of influence. Projects with no leavers will receive full points. <i>RRH APR Sources:</i> [APR 23c Permanent Destinations 		
 include, but are not limited to, project size, the number of persons who exited the project, population served and severity of barriers, and circumstances beyond the project's sphere of influence. Projects with no leavers will receive full points. 		

⁴ HUD System Performance Measures 3, 7

Factor 2.B. Maintaining/Increasing Cash Income ⁵ (2 Points)	Scale	Points
Calculation: (Adult with any cash income at exit + adults with any cash income at a timely annual assessment) ÷ (the number of living adults - the number of adult stayers not yet due for an annual assessment).	≥90%	2
	80-89.9%	1
Panelists may exercise up to .4 discretion points (20% of the maximum		
possible value for the scoring factor) to increase (to a maximum of 2) or decrease the score. To utilize discretion to increase points, the project must have included a narrative explaining their performance. If the data shows annual assessments were not timely, the narrative should include a plan to improve assessment timeliness.	<80%	0
• APR Sources/Calculation: [APR 18 One or More Source of Income at Latest Annual Assessment + APR 18 One or More Source of Income at Exit] ÷ [APR 5a Adults - APR 18 Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Assessment]		

Factor 2.C. Connection to Health Insurance ⁶ (3 Points)	Scale	Points
exit + participant who had at least one form of health insurance at a timely	≥95%	3
annual follow-up assessment) ÷ (the number of living adults - the number of adult stayers not yet due for an annual assessment)	90-94.9%	2
Panelists may exercise up to .6 discretion points (20% of the maximum possible value for the scoring factor) to increase (to a maximum of 3) or decrease the score. To utilize discretion to increase points, the project must	80-89.9%	1
have included a narrative explaining their performance. If the data shows annual assessments were not timely, the narrative should include a plan to improve assessment timeliness.	<80%	0
• APR Sources: [APR 21 1 Source Health Insurance at Exit + APR 21 1 Source Health Insurance at Annual Assessment + APR 21 More than 1 Source Health Insurance at Exit + APR 21 More than 1 Source Health Insurance at Annual Assessment] ÷ [APR 5a		

⁵ HUD System Performance Measure 4

⁶ HUD System Performance Measures 2, 7

FINAL 2022 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT SCORING TOOL

3. EFFICIENT USE OF PROJECT FUNDS (24 POINTS)

Factor 3.A. Bed Utilization ⁷ (12 Points)	Scale	Points
Is the project serving the number of people it was designed to serve?	≥90%	12
Count the average number of people enrolled in the project on the last Wednesday of each quarter, and divide it by the number of beds promised in e-snaps (or as evidenced by another agreement with HUD) to get the bed	80-89.9%	10
utilization rate.	70-79.9%	8
Then, award up to 12 points based on the scale on the right. <u>Panelists may</u> <u>exercise up to 2.4 discretion points</u> (20% of the maximum possible value for the scoring factor) to increase (to a maximum of 12) or decrease the score.	60-69.9%	6
To utilize discretion to increase points, the project must have included a narrative explaining their performance:	50-59.9%	4
• Faced circumstances beyond its control that made it difficult or impossible to fully utilize grant resources (this could include a	40-49.9%	2
 consolidation or expansion with a project that has less than a year of operating data), <u>and</u> Has a concrete, plausible plan to improve utilization of grant resources for future years <u>or</u> Demonstrates through data that its unit utilization is high and convincingly explains why the associated bed utilization data is low. 	<40%	0
APR Sources: [(APR 7b January Total + APR 7b April Total + APR 7b July Total + APR 7b October Total) ÷ 4] ÷ Number of Beds]		

⁷ HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3

Factor 3.B. Gra	nt Spenddown ⁸ (12 Points)	Scale	Points
Divide the amount of money drawn down from e-LOCCs (per HUD's Spend Report) during the project's most recently completed contract, by the		≥90%	12
amount of CoC Inventory Worl	funding shown for that project on the corresponding Grant ksheet (GIW).	80-89.9%	10
 If an underspending project provided evidence that they initiated a spenddown plan (grant amendment, reallocation (full or partial), transition application, or spend plan approved by the CoC Board 	70-79.9%	8	
Grant S timelin	Spend Subcommittee ("Subcommittee")) within the requested es, then it has been awarded ten points for this factor, which	60-69.9%	6
 is not subject to panel discretion). If a project has not completed a spenddown plan under the oversight of the CoC Board, then, award points based on the scale to the right. <u>Panelists may add up to 2 additional points</u> (to a maximum of 12) based on the project's narrative if the project: 	50-59.9%	4	
	40-49.9%	2	
1.	Provides historical spend data showing greater than 80% spend during the last two previously completed contracts (or one, if there is only one previously completed contract; or not a requirement for projects with no previously completed contracts) and	<40%	0
2.	Shows that spend on the current contract is up to 15% less than the percentage of time that has elapsed on the contract (using the last HUD Spend Report) <u>and</u>		
3.	Shows that during this contract year the project had circumstances beyond its control that made it difficult or impossible to fully utilize grant resources (this could include a consolidation or expansion with a project that has less than a year of operating data).		

⁸ HUD System Performance Measure 3

FINAL 2022 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT SCORING TOOL

4. PROJECT CONSISTENCY WITH HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES (17 POINTS)

Factor 4.A. HMIS Participation and Data Quality ⁹ (5 Points)	Scale	Points
First, review the percentage of data points that are recorded as missing,	< 5% error	3
don't know, client refused to answer, and/or unable to calculate and award		
up to 3 points using the scale to the right. Lower percentages are better.	5-9.9%	2
Panelists may exercise up to .6 discretion point (20% of the maximum		
possible value for the scoring factor) to increase or decrease the score (to a maximum of 3). When utilizing discretion, the Panel can consider data	10-14.9%	1
timeliness and its potential effect on data quality.	Greater than	0
	or equal to	
Second, award up to 2 points if the project submitted 80% of their required	15% error	
data quality reports/monthly HMIS Annual Performance Reports (APR) to		
the HMIS Lead. If the project uses a comparable database (i.e., is a Victim		
Service Provider), award 2 points (DV projects will be required to meet this		
reporting requirement during the next NOFO).		

Factor 4.B. Coordinated Entry ¹⁰ (10 Points- Panel Discretion)	Scale	Points
 Award up to 4 Points using the scale to the right: <u>Bed Openings Reported</u>: Take by the number of bed openings the project reported to the Coordinated Entry System and divide it by the number of bed openings the project had during the 	Bed Openings Reported: Greater than or equal to	3
 <u>Referrals Accepted</u>: Take the number of referrals (suitable or otherwise) the project accepted from the Coordinated Entry System and divide it by how many referrals the project received (suitable or otherwise) from the Coordinated Entry System. Apply the scale to the right. 	80% Referrals Accepted: Greater than or equal to 80%	3
<u>Award up to 2 additional points</u> if the project entered their bed availability into the Vacancy Tracker (or emailed project bed availability to the matcher) weekly, totaling 80% of the time (at least 42 of 52 weeks) during the local competition period.		
<u>Award up to 2 additional points</u> based on the project's subjective description of how it contributes to the Coordinated Entry System, including but not limited to participating in CE workgroups, participating in case conferencing, and serving as an official access site.		

⁹ HUD System Performance Measures 5.1, 5.2

¹⁰ HUD System Performance Measure 1

FINAL 2022 RENEWAL HOUSING PROJECT SCORING TOOL

Factor 4.C. Special Populations ¹¹ (2 Points)	Scoring Guide	Points
Award up to 2 points based the scoring guide to the right.	Project targets <u>two or more</u> of the following specialized populations:	2
	 i. Homeless Youth, ii. Domestic Violence survivors, iii. Homeless Families with Children, iv. Chronic Homeless, v. Homeless Veterans, and/or vi. Transgender/Gender Nonconforming vii. Older adults/seniors viii. Persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local population 	0
	Project does not target any of the above subpopulations.	0

4. BONUS POINTS (10 POINTS)

Factor 5.A. Bonus Points for Permanent Supportive Housing (10 Points)	Points
Award the project 10 points if it is applying to renew a Permanent Supportive Housing Project.	10

¹¹ HUD System Performance Measure 1